1. Ventura Port District
2. City of Ventura
3. City of Oxnard
4. Heal the Bay
5. Ventura County Agricultural Irrigated Lands Group (VCAILG)
6. City of Moorpark
7. City of Camarillo
8. City of Thousand Oaks

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
No. 1.1	Author Ventura Port District	Sep 19	If the only available information on sources to the beach indicates that the sources are from the Harbor, then we feel that the TMDL should be postponed and combined with the development of the Bacteria TMDL to address the Coliform Bacteria listing for the Ventura Harbor: Ventura Keys. Developing the Harbor Cove Beach TMDL in conjunction with the Ventura Harbor: Ventura Keys Bacteria TMDL would allow the connection between sources to the Harbor, sources to the beach, and responsible parties to be developed.	Staff finds that bacteria impairments associated with the Ventura Harbor Keys and Harbor Cove Beach may have common sources and a technical and regulatory advantage may be derived from coordinating and developing the TMDLs together. As such, the TMDL for Harbor Cove Beach will be continued to later date which will coincide with the development of the future TMDL for bacteria impairments at the Ventura Harbor Keys. The staff
1.2	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The available data suggests that the listing may not be	report and proposed basin plan amendment (BPA) will be revised to remove reference to Harbor Cove Beach. Staff disagrees.
	District		justified [for Harbor Cove Beach] by looking at the entire data set.	In addition to the comment letter, The City of Ventura and Ventura Port

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				District (Port District) submitted a letter
				to State Water Resources Control Board
				on February 28, 2007 in response to the
				2008 303(d) data request which
				recommended delisting for Harbor
				Cove Beach.
				In response, staff re-evaluated the
				existing data based on guidelines set
				forth in Water Quality Control Policy
				for Developing California's Clean
				Water Act Section 303(d) List
				(September 2004) (Listing Policy).
				Staff determined exceedances based on
				an exceedance day approach for single
				samples (section 2.4.2 of the Staff
				Report). For geometric means, a
				similar approach was taken to evaluate
				exceedances of geometric mean
				standards where an exceedance of one
				geometric mean criterion would count
				as an exceedance day for geometric
				means.
				Data were analyzed from April 1999 to
				March 2006 and staff has determined
				that Harbor Cove Beach has a total of
				96 out 523 total exceedances of
				geometric mean standards. Using
1				binomial distribution and the values

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				provided in Table 4.2 of the listing policy for sample sizes greater than 121, staff determined that a total of 86 exceedances or less out of 523 samples is necessary to delist Harbor Cove Beach. Precedence for not delisting a waterbody based on exceedances of bacteria geometric mean standards is set in the 2006 Clean Water Action Section 303(d) list of water quality limited segments Fact sheets Supporting "Do Not Delist" Recommendations for Ventura River Estuary as well as other waterbodies.
				Given the determinations made by staff and guidance from the listing policy, staff has determined that Harbor Cove Beach exceeds bacteria standards for geometric means in excess of the listing policy standard for delisting Harbor Cove Beach.
				The staff report presented the data in a seasonal form and further analyzed the data in terms of wet- and dry-weather to better understand the similar conditions in which exceedances occur, which is consistent with previous adopted bacteria TMDLs in the Los Angeles region.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				The analysis of data included with the delisting request used only data from 2003 and more recent. Section 6.1.5.3 of the Listing Policy states that, "[i]f the implementation of a management practice(s) has resulted in a change in the water body segment, only recently collected data [since the implementation of the management measure(s)] should be considered." The City of Ventura and the Port District have not provided information regarding the implementation of a management practice or justification for not using the data prior to 2003.
				Calculation of geometric means is based on a rolling 30-day period and requires at least 5 equally spaced samples (section 2.4.2 of the staff report). In the data analysis included in the delisting request, data points collected on the same day were considered independently for the calculation of geometric means. However, staff determined that samples taken on the same day by the City of Ventura and County of Ventura were not temporally independent in regards to calculating the geometric mean. In those cases,

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				daily averages were used to contribute to the calculation of the rolling 30-day geometric mean.
1.3	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Sources to the beach have not been identified in the TMDL analysis.	Comment noted. Staff acknowledges the lack of information in regards to nonpoint source loading to Harbor Cove Beach. Source identification studies can be conducted to quantify source loading and adequately establish linkage at Harbor Cove Beach as the TMDL is redeveloped or can be included as special studies to the redeveloped TMDL in its implementation plan.
1.4	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	3. The linkage analysis has not established the connection between allocations and achieving the water quality objectives because of the lack of information about sources.	See response to comment 1.3.
1.5	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	4. The reference beach selected is not appropriate for enclosed beaches. The following sections describe our concerns in more detail.	Staff recognizes the unique conditions of Leo Carrillo as a reference beach—however, Leo Carrillo is currently the best reference beach available and has been used in several enclosed beach TMDLs. The Regional Board continues to work to develop a more appropriate reference beach for enclosed beaches and developments can be considered during the Regional Board reconsideration at four years from the effective date of the TMDL.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
No. 1.6	Author Ventura Port District	Date Sep 19	Comment [T]he total number of exceedances for all constituents and for all criteria is below the allowable number of exceedances calculated from the Listing Policy. As a result, the available data collected since 2002 show that Harbor Cove Beach should be delisted per the 2004 Listing Policy. On February 28, 2007, the City and Port District submitted a letter to the Regional Water Board in response to a request for data submittals for the 2008 303(d) listing process. Although no formal response was received to this letter, Regional Water Board staff proceeded to develop a TMDL and included a data analysis in the Staff Report to support the continued listing and development of a TMDL for Harbor Cove Beach. The data	Response See response to comment 1.1.
			 analysis provided in the Staff Report varies from the analysis we provided in the following ways: The data analysis is conducted by season (i.e. summer dry weather, winter dry weather, and winter wet weather) rather than looking at the entire data set. The data analysis included data from April 1999 to March 2006 and also looked at the data subset from November 2003 to March 2006. 	
			 For days when multiple samples were collected on the same day, the arithmetic mean of the samples was used to calculate the geometric mean. Our analysis used all samples to calculate the geometric mean, even if they were collected on the same day. The exceedance frequency is compared to the Los Angeles Region Reference Beach (Leo Carrillo Beach) rather than the allowable exceedances in the 2004 Listing Policy table. Based on the analysis provided in the Staff Report, the number of 	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			exceedances would not meet the listing policy during summer dry weather or winter dry weather, but would exceed the number of allowable exceedances under the listing policy during wet weather: Similarly, the summer geometric mean exceedances would not exceed the allowable exceedances in the 2004 Listing Policy, though the winter geometric mean exceedance would.	
1.7	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	The source analysis provides no linkage between the responsible parties in the TMDL and the sources on the beach. The Port District does not own the beach or operate any discharges to the beach and the owner of the beach (State of California) is not listed in the TMDL. All storm water discharges from the Port District enter the storm drain system that does not discharge to the beach area. Dry weather runoff is not present from any Port District facility to the beach. The only point source that could have been considered to be a possible source was the Port District boat washing facility. Discharges from that facility have been discharged to the sanitary sewer system since May 1, 2006. So, point source discharges to the beach area from the Port District do not exist, yet they are listed as point sources in the TMDL.	See response to comment 1.3 in regard to sources. Staff has received the Ventura Port District's letter requesting the rescinding of their NPDES permit for the wash-down facility. Staff is in the process of reviewing and verifying the information in the letter and the comment will be addressed when the new Harbor Cove Beach in conjunction with Ventura Keys TMDL is redeveloped. Staff has received a jurisdictional determination from California State Lands Commission (CSLC) in regards to Harbor Cove Beach (also know as Peninsula Beach). According to their letter of determination received via email on September 25, 2007, "Peninsula Beach, is the ocean facing beach inside the breakwater and jetties at the north end of the peninsula and is under a 49-year lease (PRC 5892.9) to

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				the District, by CSLC." They further find that "land waterward of the Mean High Tide Line at this location are subject to a 49-year lease to the District, Lease No. PRC 2881.9.
				Based on the findings of this letter, staff has determined that the Harbor Cove Beach and beach waters are under the jurisdiction of the Port District. As a result, the Port District is responsible for maintaining the water quality at Harbor Cove Beach.
1.8	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Additionally, the staff report provides no information on nonpoint sources to the beach that could be considered within the Port District jurisdiction. In fact, on page 48, the Staff Report states "Unfortunately, HCB lacks the detailed studies conducted at the CIHB. A source identification study of the VH may help to fully characterize and quantify the loading associated with nonpoint sources."	See response to comment 1.3 regarding sources. See response to comment 1.7 regarding Port District jurisdiction.
1.9	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Additionally, the entire source analysis section focuses on sources of bacteria to Ventura Harbor. However, there is no discussion of how sources to the Harbor are linked to sources at Harbor Cove Beach. Additionally, no sources specific to the beach are identified. Page 51 of the Staff Report states "The potential beach sources of bacteria loading are not full(y) characterized for HCB." The text then lists a number of possible sources, but contains no information to identify if any of the sources are actually present at Harbor Cove Beach.	See response to comment 1.3.
1.10	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Additionally, if there are no clear anthropogenic sources of bacteria, we feel that natural sources, such as birds, should be	See response to comment 1.5.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			explored before issuing a TMDL for a beach whose number of	
			exceedances could possibly be explained by natural sources.	
1.11	Ventura Port	Sep 19	As with the source analysis, the TMDL linkage analysis does	See response to comment 1.3.
	District		not provide any information linking discharges from the	
			responsible parties to exceedances of bacteria water quality	
			objectives at Harbor Cove Beach. The linkage analysis states	
			"Regional Board Staff concludes that exceedances of bacteria	
			water quality standards at the HCB is linked to a combination of	
			nonpoint sources (i.e., local sources) and point sources (i.e.	
			Arundell Barranca and boat wash-down station)." Although this	
			statement is made, no information is presented in either the	
			source analysis section or the linkage analysis section to support	
			this statement. No information is presented as to how the	
			Arundell Barranca directly impacts the beach area and local	
			nonpoint sources (other than birds as a natural source) are not	
			identified.	
1.12	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Given the substantial differences between these two beaches, the	See response to comment 1.5.
	District		Port District believes the decision to designate Leo Carrillo	
			Beach as the reference beach is premature. As Figure 1-2 in the	
			Staff Report plainly shows, the extensive system of breakwaters	
			and jetties surrounding Harbor Cove Beach substantially alters	
			the natural movement of ocean water that would exist at the	
			beach absent this system. This sheltering from the ocean	
			currents creates an environment uniquely affecting bacterial	
			populations in a manner distinct from exposed beaches like Leo	
			Carrillo Beach. The jetties and breakwater system at Harbor	
			Cove Beach in fact create an artificial cove where the beach	
			waters are relatively stagnated in comparison to Leo Carrillo	
			Beach.	
			In addition to the differences in the physical setting,	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			contributions from natural sources to the Harbor Cove Beach	-
			occur year-round. Unlike Leo Carrillo Beach where stream	
			flows deliver bacteria from natural sources primarily during wet	
			weather, birds and other animals visit Harbor Cove Beach	
			continuously throughout the year. As a result, allowable	
			exceedances may be appropriate year round, not just during the	
			winter season.	
			The Port District acknowledges the Regional Board Staffs	
			recognition that the Leo Carrillo Beach may not be the most	
			appropriate reference system for the Harbor Cove Beach, as	
			indicated in the Staff Report (p. 34). In addition, although the	
			Regional Board can re-assess a more appropriate reference	
			beach under the TMDL's schedule, the Port District requests that	
			a more appropriate reference beach having a physical setting	
			more like that of a cove be designated before adoption of the	
			TMDL or consider use of the natural sources exclusion	
			approach should an appropriate reference beach not be defined.	
1.13	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The Ventura Port District Individual NPDES Permit	See response to comment 1.7.
	District		Discharge is no longer a source to the Ventura Harbor. As	
			stated previously, the Ventura Port District's discharge associated	
			with the individual NPDES permit ceased in May, 2006. As	
			such, the reference to this source as a potentially significant	
			source of bacteria loading should be removed from the Tentative	
			BPA, page 3, and from the Staff Report.	
1.14	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The Staff Report does not provide any links between any	See response to comment 1.3.
	District		permitted discharge and the elevated bacteria concentrations at	
			Harbor Cove Beach. Additionally, as quoted above, the Staff	
			Report does not provide any information on nonpoint sources of	
			bacteria to Harbor Cove Beach. The Source Analysis section of	
			the Tentative BPA should clearly distinguish between known	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			sources identified for the Channel Island Beaches and Harbor	-
			Cove Beaches and state that point and nonpoint sources to Harbor	
			Cove Beaches have not been identified.	
1.15	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	The Responsible Parties listed in the TMDL are incorrect. On page 4 in Table 7-28.1 of the Tentative BPA, the Ventura County Watershed Protection District and associated Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permittees (emphasis added) are listed as having wasteload allocations (WLAs) in the TMDL. Many of the "associated MS4 permittees" do not discharge to the waterbodies listed in the TMDL (such as the Cities of Simi Valley, Moorpark, and Thousand Oaks). Please remove the language from the Tentative BPA and Staff Report that refer to "associated MS4 permittees."	Comment noted. The BPA and staff report will be revised to clarify responsible parties.
1.16	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Additionally, the State of California, which owns Harbor Cove Beach, is not listed as a responsible party. To assist in your understanding of the ownership and leasing arrangements in the Harbor Cove Beach area, included herewith is a recent aerial photograph of the Ventura Harbor/Ventura Keys area with the boundaries of the District's three leases (also included) with the State of California overlain upon it. Lease numbers 5872.9 and 5892.9 can be characterized as real leases because the Port District has control of the leased premises for the duration of the lease. Lease number 2881.9, which includes the Harbor Cove Beach, is really just a permit allowing for the construction of certain structures. See paragraph 1 of the lease which reads as follows: "1. Said permit shall be used only for the construction, operation and maintenance of a harbor entrance	See response to comment 1.7.
			channel and jetties which shall in all respects comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations."	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			Thus, the State of California retains control of the lands which	
			are the subject of Lease number 2881:9 and should thus be	
			added as a responsible party receiving a load allocation. Though	
			the Port District recognizes its responsibility for discharges to	
			the Harbor and any water quality objective exceedances these	
			discharges may cause at Harbor Cove Beach, the link between	
			discharges under our jurisdiction and the sources at Harbor	
			Cove Beach have not been identified. Until the link is	
			established, we request that the Port District be removed as a	
			responsible party to the TMDL.	
1.17	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Table 7-28.1 in Tentative BPA makes inconsistent	See response to comment 1.3.
	District		statements in regard to assigned waste load allocations	
			(WLAs). This table <i>states</i> that WLAs are assigned to various	
			permittees discharging into the Harbor Beaches in Ventura	
			County (HBVC). WLAs are designated for each of the stated	
			time periods at each of the three HBVC beaches. The WLA for	
			winter dry-weather and wet-weather single sample bacteria	
			densities for Hobie Beach, Kiddie Beach, and Harbor Cove	
			Beach are listed in Table 7-28.2. That table allows some	
			exceedances for the bacteria objectives during the winter dry-	
			weather and wet-weather periods. The WLA section in Table 7-	
			28.1 is inconsistent with this allowance. That section states that	
			"general NPDES permits, individual NPDBS permits, the	
			Statewide Industrial Storm Water General Permitare assigned	
			WLAs of zero (0) days of allowable exceedances for all three	
			time periods and for the single sample limits and the rolling 30-	
			day geometric mean." (emphasis added). However, in the Staff	
			Report, these sources appear to be given allocations that include	
			some allowable exceedances. As no sources have been clearly	
			identified for the TMDL and no support is provided for	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			determining that some sources should have no allowable	
			exceedances, the Port District requests the language quoted	
			above be removed from the Tentative BPA.	
1.18	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Table 7-28.1 in Tentative BPA does not identify sources and	The allowable exceedances in the
	District		provide a linkage to the responsible parties for the Interim	TMDL are intended to account for
			or Final Allocations. The Tentative BPA provides tables of	exceedances caused by natural sources
			allowable exceedances as both interim and final wasteload and	and it is not the intent of the Regional
			load allocations. However, the allocations are not assigned to	Board to require the treatment of these
			identified TMDL sources and the associated responsible parties,	sources.
			but rather to the beach. In Table 7-28.3, several responsible	
			parties are identified for compliance with the TMDL and page 4	The Port District has jurisdiction and
			lists the parties that have been assigned WLAs and load	responsibility, along with the other
			allocations (LAS), however the Tentative BPA and the Staff	responsible parties, to reduce loads to
			Report do not provide information on how those responsible	reach the allowable exceedance levels.
			parties are linked to the allocations presented in the Tentative	Source identification studies can be
			BPA. The Tentative BPA should clearly provide information on	conducted to quantify source loading
			the sources that are assigned allocations for the TMDL and how	and adequately establish linkage at
			those sources are linked to the responsible parties identified in	Harbor Cove Beach as the TMDL is
			Table 7-28.3.	redeveloped or can be included as
				special studies to the redeveloped
				TMDL in its implementation plan.
1.19	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The TMDL documentation fails to adequately explain why	The Port District is a responsible party
	District		the Port District has a nonpoint designation. Table 7-28.4 in	with jurisdiction over the Marina and
			the Basin Plan Amendments (BPA) designates the Port District	the subject beach. Nonpoint source
			as both a point and non-point source and assigns allocations for	bacterial contributions to beaches are
			both designations. The allocations are the same for both point	well understood; as the new TMDL for
			and nonpoint sources. Despite these designations, most of the	Harbor Cove Beach and the Ventura
			discussion in Section 4 (Source Assessment) and Section 5	Keys is developed further assessments
			(Linkage Analysis) concerning Harbor Cove Beach indicates	of sources can be undertaken including
			that very little is known about the nonpoint sources of bacteria	a better understanding of how many
			to this beach. Section 4.3 states that "HCB lacks the detailed	exceedances at the beach are caused by

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
110.	Author	Date	[bacteria source identification] studies conducted at the CIHB," and recommends a source identification study of the Ventura Harbor to fully characterize and quantify the loading associated with nonpoint sources. Further, Section 5.2 Linkage Analysis states that "[c]irculation and source identification studies have not been completed for HCB," and provides only a	point and nonpoint sources. In terms of the precedence, Cities have been identified as nonpoint and point sources in the recently adopted Trash TMDLs such as at Legg Lake for
			rudimentarily conclusion that exceedances of bacteria water quality standards at the HCB is linked to both nonpoint and point sources. We request that Regional Water Board staff refine the Source Assessment and Linkage Analysis based on completed and more definitive studies of nonpoint sources of bacteria into HCB before designating the Port District as a nonpoint source. In addition, we note that other bacteria TMDLs do not assign the Cities as both nonpoint and point sources. Therefore, we request the Regional Water Board staff provide justification for this significant break from precedence or remove the Port District as a nonpoint source in the TMDL.	example.
1.20	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Interim Allocations should be calculated based on a percentile of annual exceedances in data from Harbor Cove Beach, not by using a critical condition analysis assumption. The interim WLAs and LAs in the Tentative BPA and Staff Report are calculated based on translating the exceedance frequency of the data to number of days based on the critical condition year of 1993 at LAX. Interim limits should be calculated based on the direct use of available data, not based on a comparison using critical condition	Staff disagrees. Usage of the 95 th percentile values would lead to situations in which the actual number of exceedances at the HBVC would be significantly less than then allowable number of exceedances in all conditions. Staff notes that the sum of the exceedances days in the 95 th percentile calculation exceed the sum of the exceedances days in even the
			assumptions. Based on the available data from January 2002 to September 2006, the number of exceedances in the data from Harbor Cove Beach for each year (November 1-October 31) was developed. The following table summarizes the number of exceedance days for each of the seasons and type of criteria.	highest exceedance year, and significantly exceed the sum of the lowest exceedance years. Staff also notes that no single year experienced

No.	Author	Date	Comment						Response
									the 100 percentile exceedance days
			Year	Summer Dry Single Sample	Winter Dry Single Sample	Winter Wet Single Sample	Summer Geomean	Winter Geomean	during all conditions. Accordingly, staff has determined that the use of the observed exceedance probability and
			2001	3	0	1	0	0	the number of days on the critical
			2002	4	0	3	8	4	condition year of 1993 at LAX to
			2003	2	1	6	3	9	determine the interim allocations, as the
			2004	4	3	6	9	16	more conservative of the two
			2005	5	1	5	14	7	approaches.
			95 th Percentile	4.8	2.6	6	13	14.6	approactics.
1.21	W. A. D. A.	G 10	We request to above be used rather than to assumptions	ed as the int he values ca	terim limits alculated usi	for the Harbo	or Cove lal condit	Beach ion	
1.21	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Final Alloca						Comment noted. Time has been
	District		years define						allocated in the implementation
			at LAX. The						schedule for to the Regional Board to
				using the LAX station is that "the station has the longest historical rainfall record in the Los Angeles Region." While that					consider the use of Ventura County precipitation data in determining final
			may be a con						allocations (see Table 7-28.3).
			County has						anocations (see Table 7-20.5).
			longer in du						
			Ventura Cou						
			Although the	•		•	_	•	
			TMDL calcu						
			Los Angeles	County sh	ould be ackı	nowledged in	the TM	DL.	
			Ventura Cou	inty watersl	heds respond	d differently	to rainfa	.11	
			because of the	_	•	•	_		
			Larger ram e						
			receiving wa	iters and ele	evated flows	can be mair	ntained fo	or	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			significant periods of time after rain events. These different	-
			patterns have the potential to impact the water quality objective	
			exceedances at Harbor Cove Beach.	
1.22	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	Final Allocations for Winter Dry Season should be based on the 90 th percentile critical dry weather year, not wet weather year. As discussed in the Staff Report on page 63 under critical conditions, 1993 was chosen as the reference year because "it is the 90th percentile year in terms of wet weather days". The reasoning for choosing this year is so that the reference beach is not frequently exceeding the allowable exceedance days. We fully support this approach and reasoning for selection of the 90 th	Staff acknowledges the concerns of the Port District and the potential situation cause by selection 90th percentile storm year. When the TMDL is reconsidered by the Regional Board, the reconsideration of allocations can include an evaluation of whether the allowable exceedance days should be
			percentile year in terms of wet weather days for determining the allowable number of wet weather exceedances. However, using the same reference year for both wet weather and winter dry weather results in a situation where the reference beach can be expected to exceed its allowable number of winter dry weather exceedances in 9 out of 10 years. Rather than using this same reference year to calculate the number of allowable exceedances for both wet weather and winter dry weather, the same analysis should be conducted to determine the reference year for winter dry weather that is based on the 90 th percentile year in terms of winter dry weather days. Assuming that all of the wet days	adjusted annually based on the number of wet weather days each year (while keeping the exceedance probability constant) rather than fixing the number based on the 90th percentile year. This would mean that in years with fewer wet days, there would be fewer allowable exceedance days, while in a year that exceeded the 90th percentile year, more allowable exceedance days would be permitted.
			presented in Appendix A occurred between November 1 and larch 31 (which is likely not completely accurate), the 90 th percentile year in tends of winter dry weather days would be approximately equal to the 10 percentile year in terms of wet weather days. According to Appendix A, this would equate to somewhere between 115 (88.6 th percentile) and 118 (91.6 th percentile) winter dry weather days as compared to 80 winter dry days currently used to calculate the allowable exceedances in the TMDL. Using the methods described in the Staff Report, the 90 th	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			percentile year in terms of dry weather days would result in 4 (rather than 3) allowable exceedance days during winter dry weather if daily sampling is conducted.	
1.23	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	The final plans are due at the same time the Regional Board reconsiders the TMDL (four years after the TMDLs effective date). The advantage of performing the substantial amount of work in developing the draft and final workplans prior to TMDL reconsideration is unclear because the Regional Board's may substantially alter the TMDL's requirements during reconsideration, including in particular changing the reference beach and evaluating natural sources.	Staff disagrees. While the reconsideration will allow for appropriate adjustments in the TMDL and allocations to be made, it is expected that in the first four years after the TMDL is effective, substantial progress will be made in reducing bacterial exceedances and plans can be laid for final compliance even in the face of the uncertainty of precise final compliance allowable exceedances. A draft work plan is necessary to determine the current course of action to remediate the bacteria impairments at the HBVC. This approach is consistent with Mother's Beach in Marina del Rey Harbor and Back Basins Bacteria TMDL, which has also listed requirements for a draft and final work plan prior to Regional Board reconsideration.
1.24	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	As indicated in Section 9.1.1 of the Staff Report, BMPs are designed to comply with the listed WLAs and LAs. Thus, the scope of a structural or nonstructural BMP program is inescapably linked to the established WLA and LAs, as well as the designated reference beach since the reference system/antidegradation approach is designed to achieve reductions in exceedances to the level representative of the	See response to comment 1.23.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			reference beach. It is impractical to identify and characterize	
			BMP programs for the purpose of complying with WLAs and LA	
			because said allocations may in fact be changed down the road.	
			Therefore, the responsible parties recommend Regional Board	
			staff remove the requirements for draft workplan submittals	
			altogether and require submittal of the final workplans six to 12	
			months after the Regional Board reconsiders the TMDL.	
1.25	Ventura Port	Sep 19	In addition, the Port District requests the Regional Board extend	Comment noted. This comment will be
	District		the implementation schedule for HCB to 10 years to allow time	addressed when the TMDL for Harbor
			for studies and reconsideration of the TMDL prior to requiring	Cove Beach is redeveloped.
			implementation actions.	
1.26	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The TMDL does not appropriately characterize the bacteria	Considering the real health risk of
	District		problem at the Harbor Cove Beach. In particular, the Staff	swimming in contaminated waters, staff
			Report overstates the problem at HCB beach by blurring the	disagrees that the description of the
			distinction between the beach's bacteria problem and the	bacterial exceedances at Harbor Cove
			significant and recognized bacteria problem at the Channel	Beach is alarmist. The data analysis is
			Islands Harbor beaches. While the Staff Report appropriately	the Staff Report was thorough – data
			discusses the general health risks associated with bacteria	were analyzed for different seasons and
			impaired recreational waters, it lacks a balanced characterization	weather conditions and over a full five
			of the bacteria problem at Harbor Cove Beach. This results in an	years and the more recent three years so
			alarmist portrayal about the beach qualities, which may	that improvements in exceedance levels would be made clear.
			inappropriately impact public perception and use of the beach in	would be made clear.
			an adverse way. In particular, the staff report describes only the	
			poor ratings this beach has received, but not its good ratings.	
1.27	Ventura Port	Sep 19	The discussion of Natural Source Exclusion Approach	Comment noted. The staff report will
	District		inappropriately characterizes the approach and applicability	be revised to address this comment.
			to the Harbor Cove Beach watershed. On page 44 of the Staff	
			Report, a discussion of the natural source exclusion approach	
			incorrectly states "All anthropogenic sources must be removed	
			first." The Basin Plan Amendment adopted in 2002 (Resolution	
			2002-22) that provides for natural sources exclusion states that	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			after all anthropogenic sources of bacteria have been controlled such that they do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the	
			single sample objectives" (emphasis added). The Basin Plan Amendment language states that anthropogenic sources must be	
			controlled, not removed, and only to the degree that they cause or	
			contribute to an exceedance of a water quality objective.	
1.28	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Additionally, we request that the last sentence of the paragraph	Comment noted. The staff report will
	District		discussing the natural sources exclusion be removed as it inaccurately characterizes both the nature of the Harbor Cove	be revised to address this comment.
			Beach watershed and refers to the removal of all anthropogenic	
			sources.	
1.29	Ventura Port	Sep 19	We also request that this discussion include the following	See response to comment 1.5.
	District		language from the 2002 Basin Plan Amendment "The natural	
			sources exclusion' approach may be used if an appropriate	
			reference system cannot be identified due to the unique	
			characteristics of the target water body." Although we recognize that this approach cannot currently be used due to the lack of	
			available data on natural sources, we feel that the Staff Report	
			should recognize this approach as a potentially appropriate future	
			approach to addressing bacteria exceedances at Harbor Cove	
			Beach.	
1.30	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Clarification comments on Staff Report	Comment noted. The staff report will
	District		On page 25 of the Staff Report, a statement is made that the	be revised to address this comment.
			Santa Monica Bay Epidemiological Study provided much of the	
			basis for the current marine bacteria standards. Although this	
			study may have provided the impetus for the development of the	
			legislation and support for the development of the standards, the	
			data in the study did not provide information on the value of the water quality objectives that were developed. This statement	
			should be clarified.	
1.31	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Throughout the Staff Report, references to "Ventura Harbor	Comment noted. This comment will be

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
	District		Keys" should be changed to "Ventura Keys" and references to	addressed when the TMDL for Harbor
			"Ventura Marina" should be changed to "Ventura Harbor[.]"	Cove Beach is redeveloped.
1.32	Ventura Port	Sep 19	Figure 1-2 incorrectly outlined the extent of Harbor Cove Beach.	Comment noted. This comment will be
	District		The portion of the outlined area north of the middle jetty is not	addressed when the TMDL for Harbor
			part of the beach.	Cove Beach is redeveloped.
1.33	Ventura Port	Sep 19	On page 15, the Staff Report states "The USACE were	Comment noted. This comment will be
	District		contracted to construct the harbor." This statement is incorrect	addressed when the TMDL for Harbor
			and should be deleted.	Cove Beach is redeveloped.
1.34	Ventura Port	Sep 19	On page 27, the Staff Report states "CIH is the gateway to the	Comment noted. This comment will be
	District		Channel Islands National Park." The operator of all trips to	addressed when the TMDL for Harbor
			Channel Islands National Park is actually located in Ventura	Cove Beach is redeveloped.
			Harbor so this is an incorrect statement.	
1.35	Ventura Port	Sep 19	On page 28, the Staff Report includes impairments for other	Comment noted. In a general
	District		constituents (DDT and PCBs) that are listed throughout the	discussion of the environmental setting,
			Ventura Harbor. This information is unnecessary and confusing	it is appropriate to include the other
			and should be deleted from the Staff Report.	impairments in the Harbor. This
				comment may be further addressed
				when the TMDL for Harbor Cove
1.26		G 40	201 711 24 77 77 11 11	Beach is redeveloped.
1.36	Ventura Port	Sep 19	On page 30 in Table 2-1, Ventura Keys are listed with	Staff agrees that Ventura Keys is not a
	District		"(Marina)" shown below the listing. The Ventura Keys are not a	marina. In drafting the technical
			marina and the reference should be deleted.	documents, staff has identified
				beneficial uses for waterbodies the
				affected waterbody or waterbodies and
				their corresponding beneficial uses. The
				Ventura Keys (Marina) listing and its
				corresponding beneficial uses is listed
				in the California Water Quality Control
				Plan, Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan)
1.27	Wantana D. (C 10	On a second of the Conff Demonstration to continuous the second of the Confe Demonstration to continuous the second of the continuous	pg. 2-18
1.37	Ventura Port	Sep 19	On page 50, the Staff Report refers to septic tanks on boats.	Comment noted. The BPA and staff

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
	District		Septic tanks are not used on boats. Please replace septic tanks	report will be revised to address this
			with holding tanks.	comment.
2.1	City of Ventura	Sep 19	It is unclear why the City is listed as a responsible party in the Ventura Harbor Cove Beach TMDL when the City has no point source discharges to this cove, no property ownership, nor any	See response to comment 1.3 regarding sources.
			right-of ways that drain to the beach. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, the Harbor Cove Beach (HCB) is owned by the State of California, which is <i>not</i> listed as a responsible party in the draft TMDL.	See response to comment 1.7 regarding jurisdiction and the State Lands Commission. In any respect, the City is a permittee under the Ventura County MS4 permit and stormwater is a source of exceedances of bacterial standards in wet-weather.
2.2	City of Ventura	Sep 19	The Staff Report source analysis has failed to demonstrate any clear reason why the City should be listed as a responsible party in the Harbor Cove Beach TMDL. It provides no information on sources to the beach that could be considered within the City's	See response to comment 1.3 regarding sources and 2.1 regarding jurisdiction.
			jurisdiction. In fact, the Staff Report (page 48) states, "Unfortunately, Harbor Cove Beach lacks the detailed studies conducted at the Channel Islands Harbor Beach. A source identification study of the Ventura Harbor may help to fully characterize and quantify the loading associated with non-point sources."	
2.3	City of Ventura	Sep 19	If it is likely that the Harbor is the originating source, we feel that the TMDL should be postponed and combined with the development of the Bacteria TMDL to address the Coliform Bacteria TMDL listing for the Ventura Harbor/Ventura Keys.	See response to comment 1.1.
2.4	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	The available data suggests that the listing may not be justified [for Harbor Cove Beach] by looking at the entire data set.	See response to comment 1.2.
2.5	Ventura Port	Sep 19	2. Sources to the beach have not been identified in the TMDL	See response to comment 1.3

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
	District		analysis.	
2.6	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	3. The linkage analysis has not established the connection between allocations and achieving the water quality objectives because of the lack of information about sources.	See response to comment 1.3
2.7	Ventura Port District	Sep 19	4. The reference beach selected is not appropriate for enclosed beaches. The following sections describe our concerns in more detail.	See response to comment 1.5
2.8	City of Ventura	Sep 19	 [T]he total number of exceedances for all constituents and for all criteria is below the allowable number of exceedances calculated from the Listing Policy. As a result, the available data collected since 2002 show that Harbor Cove Beach should be delisted per the 2004 Listing Policy. Although no formal response was received to this letter, Regional Water Board staff proceeded to develop a TMDL and included a data analysis in the Staff Report to support the continued listing and development of a TMDL for Harbor Cove Beach. The data analysis provided in the Staff Report varies from the analysis we provided in the following ways: 1. The data analysis is conducted by season (i.e. summer dry weather, winter dry weather, and winter wet weather) rather than looking at the entire data set. 2. The data analysis included data from April 1999 to March 2006 and also looked at the data subset from November 2003 to March 2006. 3. For days when multiple samples were collected on the same day, the arithmetic mean of the samples was used to calculate 	See response to comment 1.2
			the geometric mean. Our analysis used all samples to calculate the geometric mean, even if they were collected on the same day.4. The exceedance frequency is compared to the Los Angeles	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			Region Reference Beach (Leo Carrillo Beach) rather than the allowable exceedances in the 2004 Listing Policy table.	
			Based on the analysis provided in the Staff Report, the number of exceedances would not meet the listing policy during summer dry weather or winter dry weather, but would exceed the number	
			of allowable exceedances under the listing policy during wet weather: Similarly, the summer geometric mean exceedances would not exceed the allowable exceedances in the 2004 Listing Policy, though the winter geometric mean exceedance would.	
2.9	City of Ventura	Sep 19	The source analysis provides no linkage between the responsible parties in the TMDL and the sources on the beach. The City does not own the Harbor Cove Beach, and the owner of the beach	See response to comment 1.3 for sources.
			(State of California) is not listed in the TMDL. The City does not own or operate any discharges to the beach. All storm water discharges from the City enter the storm drain system that does not discharge to the beach area. Dry weather runoff is not present	See response to 1.7 and 2.1 regarding jurisdiction.
			from any City facility to the beach. The City has no point source discharges to the beach area, yet we are listed as point sources in the TMDL.	
2.10	City of Ventura	Sep 19	Additionally, the Staff Report provides no information on non-point sources to the beach that could be considered within the City jurisdiction. In fact, on page 48, the Staff Report states, "Unfortunately, HCB lacks the detailed studies conducted at the CIHB. A source identification study of the Ventura Harbor may help to fully characterize and quantify the loading associated with non-point sources."	See response to comment 1.3
2.11	City of Ventura	Sep 19	Additionally, the entire source analysis section focuses on sources of bacteria to Ventura Harbor. However, there is no discussion of how sources to the Harbor are linked to sources at Harbor Cove Beach. Additionally, no sources specific to the	See response to comment 1.3

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			beach are identified. Page 51 of the Staff Report states, "The	
			potential beach sources of bacteria loading are not full(y)	
			characterized for HCB." The text then lists a number of possible	
			sources, but contains no information to identify if any of the	
			sources are actually present at Harbor Cove Beach.	
2.12	City of	Sep 19	Additionally, if there are no clear anthropogenic sources of	See response to comment 1.5
	Ventura		bacteria, we feel that natural sources, such as birds, should be	
			explored before issuing a TMDL for a beach whose number of	
			exceedances could possibly be explained by natural sources.	
2.13	City of	Sep 19	Additionally, if the only available information on sources to the	See response to comment 1.1
	Ventura		beach indicates that the sources are from the Harbor, then we feel	
			that the HCB TMDL should be postponed and combined	
			with the development of the upcoming Ventura Harbor	
			Bacteria TMDL to address the Coliform Bacteria listing for	
			the Ventura Harbor/Ventura Keys.	
2.14	City of	Sep 19	As with the source analysis, the TMDL linkage analysis does not	See response to comment 1.3
	Ventura		provide any information linking discharges from the responsible	
			parties to exceedances of bacteria water quality objectives at	
			Harbor Cove Beach. The linkage analysis states, "Regional	
			Board Staff concludes that exceedances of bacteria water quality	
			standards at the HCB is linked to a combination of non-point	
			sources (i.e., local sources) and point sources (i.e. Arundell	
			Barranca and boat wash-down station)." Although this statement	
			is made, no information is presented in either the source analysis	
			section or the linkage analysis section to support this statement.	
			No information is presented as to how the Arundell Barranca	
			directly impacts the beach area and local non-point sources	
2.15	G: G	0 10	(other than birds as a natural source) are not identified.	
2.15	City of	Sep 19	Additionally, as stated previously, the boat wash down facility no	See response to comment 1.7.
	Ventura		longer discharges to the Harbor and should no longer be	
			considered a source to the beach.	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
2.16	City of Ventura	Sep 19	Given the substantial differences between these two beaches, the City of Ventura believes the decision to designate Leo Carrillo Beach as the reference beach is inappropriate. As Figure 1-2 in the Staff Report plainly shows, the extensive system of breakwaters and jetties surrounding Harbor Cove Beach substantially alters the natural movement of ocean water. This sheltering from the ocean currents creates an environment uniquely affecting bacterial populations in a manner distinct from exposed beaches like Leo Carrillo Beach. The jetties and breakwater system at Harbor Cove Beach, in fact, create an artificial cove where the beach waters are relatively stagnated in comparison to Leo Carrillo Beach.	See response to comment 1.5.
			In addition to the differences in the physical setting, contributions from natural sources to the Harbor Cove Beach occur year-round. Unlike Leo Carrillo Beach where stream flows deliver bacteria from natural sources primarily during wet weather, birds and other animals visit Harbor Cove Beach continuously throughout the year. As a result, allowable exceedances may be appropriate year round, not just during the winter season.	
			The City of Ventura acknowledges the Regional Board staff's recognition that the Leo Carrillo Beach may not be the most appropriate reference system for the Harbor Cove Beach, as indicated in the Staff Report (p. 34). In addition, although the Regional Board can re-assess a more appropriate reference beach under the TMDL's schedule, the City of Ventura requests that a more appropriate reference beach (i.e. having a physical setting more like that of a cove) be designated as a reference beach before adoption of the TMDL. If an appropriate reference beach	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			is not located, a natural sources exclusion should be considered	
			for the HCB.	
2.17	City of	Sep 19	The Staff Report does not provide any links between any	See response to comment 1.3
	Ventura		permitted discharge and the elevated bacteria concentrations at	
			Harbor Cove Beach. Additionally, as quoted above, the Staff	
			Report does not provide any information on non-point sources of	
			bacteria to Harbor Cove Beach. The Source Analysis section of	
			the Tentative BPA should clearly distinguish between known	
			sources identified for the Channel Island Beaches and Harbor	
			Cove Beaches and state that point and non-point sources to	
			Harbor Cove Beaches have not been identified.	
2.18	City of	Sep 19	Table 7-28.1 in Tentative BPA makes inconsistent statements	See response to comment 1.3
	Ventura		in regards to assigned waste load allocations (WLAs). This	
			table states that WLAs are assigned to various permittees	
			discharging into the Harbor Beaches in Ventura County (HBVC).	
			WLAs are designated for each of the stated time periods at each	
			of the three HBVC beaches. The WLA for winter dry weather	
			and wet weather single sample bacteria densities for Hobie	
			Beach, Kiddie Beach, and Harbor Cove Beach are listed in Table	
			7-28.2. That table allows some exceedances for the bacteria	
			objectives during the winter dry-weather and wet-weather	
			periods. The WLA section in Table 7-28.1 is inconsistent with	
			this allowance. That section states that "general NPDES permits,	
			individual NPDES permits, the Statewide Industrial Storm Water	
			General Permitare assigned WLAs of zero (0) days of	
			allowable exceedances for all three time periods and for the	
			single sample limits and the rolling 30-day geometric mean"	
			(emphasis added). However, in the Staff Report, these sources	
			appear to be given allocations that include some allowable	
			exceedances. As no sources have been clearly identified for the	
			TMDL and no support is provided for determining that some	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			sources should have no allowable exceedances, the City of	
			Ventura requests the language quoted above be removed from	
			the Tentative BPA.	
2.19	City of	Sep 19	Table 7-28.1 in Tentative BPA does not identify sources and	See response to comment 1.3
	Ventura		provide a linkage to the responsible parties for the Interim or	
			Final Allocations. The Tentative BPA provides tables of	
			allowable exceedances as both interim and final wasteload and	
			load allocations. However, the allocations are not assigned to	
			identified TMDL sources and the associated responsible parties,	
			but rather to the Harbor Cove Beach. In Table 7-28.3, several	
			responsible parties are identified for compliance with the TMDL	
			and page 4 lists the parties that have been assigned WLAs and	
			load allocations (LAO, however the Tentative BPA and the Staff	
			Report do not provide information on how those responsible	
			parties are linked to the allocations presented in the Tentative	
			BPA. The Tentative BPA should clearly provide information on	
			the sources that are assigned allocations for the TMDL and how	
			those sources are linked to the responsible parties identified in	
			Table 7-28.3."	
2.20	City of	Sep 19	The TMDL documentation fails to adequately explain why	See response to comment 1.3.
	Ventura		the City of Ventura has non-point designations. Table 7-28.4	
			in the Basin Plan Amendments (BPA) designates the City of	See response to 1.19 regarding Cities
			Ventura as both point and non-point sources and assigns	assigned as both point source and
			allocations for both entities. The allocations are the same for both	nonpoint source.
			point and non-point sources. Despite these designations, most of	
			the discussion in Section 4 (Source Assessment) and Section 5	
			(Linkage Analysis) concerning Harbor Cove Beach indicates that	
			very little is known about the non-point sources of bacteria to	
			this beach. Section 4.3 states that "HCB lacks the detailed	
			[bacteria source identification] studies conducted at the CRIB,"	
			and recommends a source identification study of the Ventura	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			Harbor to fully characterize and quantify the loading associated with non-point sources. Further, Section 5.2 Linkage Analysis states that "circulation and source identification studies have not been completed for HCB," and provides only a rudimentarily conclusion that exceedances of bacteria water quality standards at the HCB is linked to both non-point and point sources. We request that Regional Water Board staff refine the Source Assessment and Linkage Analysis based on completed and more definitive studies of non-point sources of bacteria into HCB before designating the City of Ventura as a non-point source. In addition, we note that other bacteria TMDLs do not assign cities as both non-point and point sources. Therefore, we request the Regional Water Board staff provide justification for this significant break from precedence or remove the City as a non-point source in the TMDL.	
2.21	City of Ventura	Sep 19	Interim Allocations should be calculated based on a percentile of annual exceedances in data from Harbor Cove Beach, not by using a critical condition analysis assumption. The interim WLAs and LAs in the Tentative BPA and Staff Report are calculated based on translating the exceedance frequency of the data to number of days based on the critical condition year of 1993 at LAX. Interim limits should be calculated based on the direct use of available data, not based on a comparison using critical condition assumptions. Based on the available data from January 2002 to September 2006, the number of exceedances in the data from Harbor Cove Beach for each year (November 1-October 31) was developed. The following table summarizes the number of exceedance days for each of the seasons and type of criteria.	See response to comment 1.20.

No.	Author	Date	Comment						Response
			Year	Summer Dry	Winter Dry	Winter Wet	Summer	Winter	
				Single Sample	Single Sample	Single Sample	Geomean	Geomean	
			2001	3	0	1	0	0	
			2002	4	0	3	8	4	
			2003	2	1	6	3	9	
			2004	4	3	6	9	16	
			2005	5	1	5	14	7	
			95 th Percentile	4.8	2.6	6	13	14.6	
			We request t						
			rather than tl	ne values ca	alculated usi	ng the critica	al conditi	ion	
			assumptions			_			
2.22	City of	Sep 19	Final Alloca	tions shou	ld be calcul	lated using o	critical c	ondition	See response to comment 1.21.
	Ventura		years define						
			at LAX. The					ale for	
			using the LA				_		
				historical rainfall record in the Los Angeles Region." While that					
			may be a con						
			County has i					-	
			longer in du						
			Ventura Cou	•		•		•	
2.23	City of	Sep 19	Final Alloca						See response to comment 1.22.
	Ventura		the 90 th per						
				year. As discussed in the Staff Report on page 63 under critical					
				conditions, 1993 was chosen as the reference year because "it is					
			the 90 th perce						
			reasoning fo						
			not frequent	y exceeding	g the allowa	ıble exceedar	nce days.	We	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			fully support this approach and reasoning for selecting the 90 th	
			percentile year in terms of wet weather days for determining the	
			allowable number of wet weather exceedances. However, using	
			the same reference year for both wet weather and winter dry	
			weather results in a situation where the reference beach can be	
			expected to exceed its allowable number of winter dry weather	
			exceedances in 9 out of 10 years. Rather than using this same	
			reference year to calculate the number of allowable exceedances	
			for both wet weather and winter dry weather, the same analysis	
			should be conducted to determine the reference year for winter	
			dry weather that is based on the 90 th percentile year in terms of	
			winter dry weather days. Assuming that all of the wet days	
			presented in Appendix A occurred between November 1 and	
			March 31 (which is likely not completely accurate), the 90 th	
			percentile year in teens of winter dry weather days would be	
			approximately equal to the 10 th percentile year in terms of wet	
			weather days. According to Appendix A, this would equate to	
			somewhere between 115 (88.6 th percentile) and 118 (91.6 th	
			percentile) winter dry weather days as compared to 80 winter dry	
			days currently used to calculate the allowable exceedances in the	
			TMDL. Using the methods described in the Staff Report, the 90 th	
			percentile year in terms of dry weather days would result in 4	
			(rather than 3) allowable exceedance days during winter dry	
			weather if daily sampling is conducted.	
2.24	City of	Sep 19	The final plans are due at the same time the Regional Board	See response to comment 1.23.
	Ventura		reconsiders the TMDL (four years after the TMDL's effective	
			date). The advantage of performing the substantial amount of	
			work in developing the draft and final workplans prior to TMDL	
			reconsideration is unclear because the Regional Board may	
			substantially alter the TMDL's requirements during	
			reconsideration, including, in particular, changing the reference	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			beach and evaluating natural sources.	-
2.25	City of Ventura	Sep 19	As indicated in Section 9.1.1 of the Staff Report, BMPs are designed to comply with the listed WLAs and LAs. Thus, the scope of a structural or nonstructural BMP program is inescapably linked to the established WLA and LAs, as well as the designated reference beach, since the reference system/antidegradation approach is designed to achieve reductions in exceedances to the level representative of the reference beach It is impractical to identify and characterize BMP programs for the purpose of complying with WLAs and LA because said allocations may in fact be changed in the future.	See response to comment 1.23.
			Therefore, the City recommends Regional Board staff remove the requirements for draft workplan submittals altogether and require submittal of the final workplans six to 12 months after the Regional Board reconsiders the TMDL.	
2.26	City of Ventura	Sep 19	In addition, the City of Ventura requests that the Regional Board extend the implementation schedule for the HCB TMDL to eight to ten years to allow time for studies and reconsideration of the TMDL prior to requiring implementation actions.	See response to comment 1.25.
2.27	City of Ventura	Sep 19	The TMDL does not appropriately characterize the bacteria problem at the Harbor Cove Beach. In particular, the Staff Report overstates the problem at HCB by blurring the distinction between the beach's bacteria problem and the significant and recognized bacteria problem at the Channel Islands Harbor beaches (i.e. Kiddie Beach & Hobie Beach). While the Staff Report appropriately discusses the general health risks associated with bacteria impaired recreational waters, it lacks a balanced characterization of the bacteria problem at Harbor Cove Beach. This results in an alarmist portrayal about the beach qualities, which may inappropriately impact public perception and use of	See response to comment 1.26.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			the beach in an adverse way. In particular, the Staff Report describes only the poor ratings this beach has received, but not its good ratings.	
2.28	City of Ventura	Sep 19	The discussion of the Natural Source Exclusion Approach inappropriately characterizes the approach and applicability to the Harbor Cove Beach watershed. On page 44 of the Staff Report, a discussion of the natural source exclusion approach incorrectly states, "All anthropogenic sources must be removed first." The Basin Plan Amendment adopted in 2002 (Resolution 2002-22) that provides for natural source exclusions states that "after all anthropogenic sources of bacteria have been controlled such that they do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the single sample objectives" (emphasis added). The Basin Plan Amendment language states that anthropogenic sources must be controlled, not removed, and only to the degree that they cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality objective.	See response to comment 1.27.
2.29	City of Ventura	Sep 19	Additionally, we request that the last sentence of the paragraph discussing the natural source exclusion be removed as it inaccurately characterizes both the nature of the Harbor Cove Beach watershed and refers to the removal of all anthropogenic sources.	See response to comment 1.28.
2.30	City of Ventura	Sep 19	We also request that this discussion include the following language from the 2002 Basin Plan Amendment "The `natural source exclusion' approach may be used if an appropriate reference system cannot be identified due to the unique characteristics of the target water body." Although we recognize that this approach cannot currently be used due to the lack of available data on natural sources, we feel that the Staff Report should recognize this approach as a potentially appropriate future approach to addressing 'bacteria exceedances at Harbor Cove Beach.	See response to comment 1.5.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
2.31	City of Ventura	Sep 19	On page 25 of the Staff Report, a statement is made that the Santa Monica Bay Epidemiological Study provided much of the basis for the current marine bacteria standards. Although this study may have provided the impetus for the development of the legislation and support for the development of the standards, the data in the study did not provide information on the value of the water quality objectives that were developed. This statement should be clarified.	See response to comment 1.30.
2.32	City of Ventura	Sep 19	On page 28, the Staff Report includes impairments for other constituents (DDT and PCBs) that are listed throughout the Ventura Harbor. This information is unnecessary and confusing and should be deleted from the Staff Report.	See response to comment 1.35.
3.1	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	STAFF REPORT Section 1.1, Regulatory Background, states that the "Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board Staff (Regional Board Staff) proposes a reference system/antidegradation approach as the implementation procedure for this TMDL. The United States Environmental Protection Agency, in its report Experts Scientific Workshop On Critical Research Needs For The Development Of New Or Revised Recreational Water Quality Criteria (June 15, 2007), recommended a toolbox approach with alternatives that include strategies more in line with the World Health Organization (WHO) and European Union (EU). We recommend delay of TMDL implementation until the recommendations in this document are evaluated.	In Experts Scientific Workshop On Critical Research Needs For The Development Of New Or Revised Recreational Water Quality Criteria (June 15, 2007), USEPA sites the lack data on fecal coliform/illness rate relationships for additional methods and the need for additional epidemiological studies to support the implementation of the Toolbox approach. Delay of the TMDL is not appropriate due to the direct, negative human health consequences which would be allowed to continue if the TMDL is delayed.
3.2	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Section 1.1, <i>Regulatory Background</i> , states that the "Regional Board Staff will use Leo Carrillo Beach (LCB) and its associated drainage area, Arroyo Sequit Canyon, as the local reference system until other reference systems are evaluated and found to	Staff acknowledges that the LCB may not be the most appropriate reference system. As such, time has been allocated for the regional board to re-

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			be more appropriate." Since these two systems are not analogous, we recommend an alternate approach, such as used in the EU (see above bullet).	evaluate the reference system in the implementation schedule. See response to comment 3.1 in regards to proposed
3.3	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	There is no information provided in the Staff Report to support the statement that the diversion led to lower total and fecal concentrations in the harbor. The diversion has made no difference in the AB411 monitoring performed at the Channel Islands Beaches, and this finding should be added to the staff report Additionally, these are the only two sources of stormwater runoff contributing to the listing of Kiddie and Hobie Beaches[.]	alternative approaches. The Larry Walker Study (2001) found that the diversion "lead to significantly lower total and fecal coliform concentrations at the South Kiddie Beach site" and "exceedances of the enterococcus standard." Though the study also finds that exceedances and bacteria level at other sites are unaffected. The staff report will be revised to discuss the diversion more fully.
3.4	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Avian sources of bacteria and a lack of circulation are the causes of bacterial exceedances at Kiddie Beach/Hobie Beach areas of the harbor, not an abundance of bacterial inputs from storm drains in other areas of the harbor. The reference to the Los Angeles Harbor study should be deleted.	Comment noted. Avian and other nonpoint sources and the lack of circulation may be major contributors to the exceedances in dry-weather; however, in wet-weather storm water is likely to be a source of exceedances. The Los Angeles Harbor study is appropriately referenced as a study conducted at a similar enclosed beach.
3.5	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Section 4.3, <i>Nonpoint Sources</i> , mentions that there have been a series of special studies performed at the harbor. In the interest of clarity, the sequence of special studies should be laid out in this early section, so that the reader can follow the findings and recommendations of the various studies in the following sections.	Comment noted. The staff report will be revised to address this comment.
3.6	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Section 5.1, Channel Islands Harbor Beaches, notes the conclusions of a bacterial source study conducted by URS	Comment noted. The staff report will be revised to address this comment.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			Corporation:	-
			"Approximately one-half of the 56 total combined Human/Sewage source matches are attributable to humans and one-half to sewage. The precise source of human sewage matches is not known. Based on the information provided in previous studies, there are no known sources of direct sewage discharge to the study area (Larry Walker, 2001)," This section should be followed by a discussion of the City of	
			Oxnard's closed circuit television survey of laterals in the	
			vicinity, and the locating and repair of a leaking lateral that most likely contributed to the above finding.	
3.7	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	SUBSTITUTE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS Section 3.2, Project Description, TMDL Goals, and Water Quality Objectives, states that the revised water quality objective for REC-l in the Basin Plan include geometric mean hurts and single sample limits for four bacterial indicators; including total coliform, fecal coliform, the fecal-to-total coliform ratio, and enterococcus. The revised objectives are also consistent with, but augment, current USEPA guidance (1986), which recommends the use of enterococcus in marine water, based on national epidemiological studies."	Staff disagrees. Work is currently being conducted by SCCWRP and USEPA in regards to alternative methodologies for water quality management. The lag time between study and practical application is unknown at the moment. In the meanwhile, the continued application of established ambient monitoring criteria will continue to protect human health until more appropriate monitoring criteria are established.
			In our experience in tracking bacterial indicator organisms in Ventura County, much of the exceedances of REC-1 limits are due to total coliform or the fecal-to-total coliform ratio due to natural or agricultural runoff of soils. While this augmentation of the EPA's recommended indicator organism may be appropriate	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			for highly urbanized watersheds, they may not be useful indicators of pathogen input, as seen in our site-specific studies in Channel Islands Harbor. The Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP) is currently investigating alternative methodologies for water quality measurement that may more closely correlate with environmental and bather risk. We recommend delay of the TMDL implementation until these	
3.8	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Many of the potential mitigation methods listed in Section 5, Description of Implementation Alternatives and Site Specific Analyses, have been implemented at Channel Islands Harbor beaches in an attempt to address elevated bacterial indicator organisms. These measures include diverting the storm drain to the sewer collection system, bird excluders, and squa[w]kers, and have not resulted in improvements in water quality, or were otherwise proven ineffective.	Staff disagrees. In Channel Islands Harbor Beach Park Dry Weather Runoff Diversion characterization Study (May 2007), Larry Walker Associates found that water in the diverted run-off at Silverstrand Pump Station to be well above single sample objectives, which would otherwise discharge directly into beach waters, potentially affecting water quality. In Channel Islands Harbor Beach Park Bird Control Measure Efficacy Study (May 2007), Larry Walker Associates note that wire structures were placed on the seawall and not piloted on beach. They cast doubt on the effectiveness of the piloted bird excluder.
3.9	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	Results of a recent study (Water Research Volume 41, Issue 1, January 2007, Pages 3-10) indicated that bathers transport significant amounts of <i>enterococci</i> and S. <i>aureus to</i> the water column, and thus human microbial bathing load should be considered as a non-point source when designing recreational water quality models. One of the Implementation Alternatives	Staff disagrees. The URS study found that approximately one-half of the 18% combined human source matches can be attributed to humans. At this time, the percentage of human bacterial loading from bather transport is not known.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			not addressed by the Substitute Environmental Documents is bather exclusion; this should be added to the analyses.	Bather exclusion would result in the loss of listed beneficial uses in section 2.2.1 of the staff, including water contact recreation (REC-1).
				In addition, the listed journal article made no attempt to place the significance of bather transport in context or determine the relative amounts of bacteria from bather transport in relation to other human sources or other point and nonpoint sources.
3.10	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	6.1.3, <i>Environmental Setting - Channel Islands Harbor</i> , States that "Hobie beach is a rocky beach." If this is the case, exploring an option of [Hobie Beach is a rocky beach?] contradicts 5.1.3 - alternative of using larger grain size makes very little sense.	Comment noted. The Staff Report will be revised to address this document.
3.11	City of Oxnard	Sept 10	The Source Analysis section of Table 7-28.1 states that "Of the nine active NPDES permits and WDRs, discharge associated with the Ventura Port District's individual NPDES permit is a potentially significant source of bacteria loading." The City of Oxnard is also holder of Waste Discharge Requirements for dredging at Mandalay Bay (Regional Board Order No. R4-2004-0108). This type of operation is a potentially significant, albeit short-term, non-point source contributor to exceedances of bacterial indicator organisms. We concur with the subsequent statement in this section that "local non-point sources are the majority contributor in summer dry-weather," and dredging should be listed as one of the potential sources.	Staff has examined the bacteria data from Kiddie Beach and Hobie Beach and cross-referenced the dredging dates received from the County of Ventura for the Harbor entrance. During the dredge periods, samples did not exceed water quality criteria in excess of their historical exceedance percentage at Kiddie Beach. The bacteria loading potential of dredging at the Channel Islands Harbor is not well understood and should be studied further.
				Stakeholders may present new and

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				relevant information to the regional
				board for consideration at any time and
				time is allocated in the implementation
				schedule to re-evaluate the reference
				beach as well as consider other relevant
				information.
3.12	City of	Sept 10	The Waste Load Allocations (for point sources) section states	The Ventura County MS4 permit
	Oxnard		that "For the Channel Islands Harbor Beaches, the County of	includes the Ventura County Watershed
			Ventura, the Ventura County Watershed Protection District	Protection District and associated
			(VCWPD) and associated Municipal Separate Storm Sewer	permittees, including the County of
			System (MS4) permittees, the City of Oxnard, and Caltrans are	Ventura and City of Oxnard. In section
			assigned WLAs." Since the Source Analysis section (see bullet	4.2.1 of the staff report, staff sites a
			point above) found only one individual point source permittee of	storm drain adjacent to the sheetpile
			concern (Ventura Port District), it is unclear why the City of	groin along the southern boundary of
			Oxnard is listed individually as well as listed as a co-permittee	the US Coast Guard Station as a
			under the Ventura Countywide Municipal Stormwater Program.	potential source.
			Since there are no other point source, please remove the second	
			reference to the City of Oxnard. The dredging operations, as well	See response to comment 1.15
			as operations at the beach itself, are adequately addressed under	regarding responsible parties.
			Load Allocations (for non-point sources).	
3.13	City of	Sept 10	Implementation Action, Compliance (WLAs), Table 7-28.3, lists	See response to comment 1.15.
	Oxnard		the Ventura County Watershed Protection District (VCWPD) and	
			associated MS4 Co-permittees (with a footnote to specifically	
			identify the City of Oxnard) as a responsible party, as well as a	
			separate listing of the City of Oxnard as a responsible party.	
			Since there are no other point source inputs of concern, please	
			remove the second reference to avoid confusion.	
3.14	City of	Sept 10	Implementation Action, Compliance (LAs), requires no	See response to comment 3.9.
	Oxnard		exceedances of the interim load, allocations. Our investigation of	
			the exceedances in the document entitled Bacteria: Source Study	
			for Channel Islands Harbor (URS Corporation, March 2004)	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			found that natural sources (predominantly birds) were	
			responsible for the majority of the potential pathogens, as	
			indicated in this DNA source tracking study. Human sources	
			(termed "swimmer wash-off in the <i>Source Analysis</i> section)	
			accounted for very little of the potential pathogen input, however,	
			research has indicated that bathers transport significant amounts	
			of enterococci, and may account for some of the exceedances.	
			The only practical implementation measure for this nonpoint	
			input would be the removal of the source.	
4.1	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Heal the Bay supports the proposed bacteria TMDL for the	Comment noted.
			Harbor Beaches of Ventura County (HBVC) including Kiddie	
			Beach, Hobie Beach and Harbor Cove Beach.	
4.2	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	The TMDL fails to ensure abatement of all anthropogenic	Staff agrees that human sources must be
			sources will occur, and in particular, that human sewage	abated, however, the Regional Board is
			sources will be eliminated. The compliance plan in the TMDL	prohibited from specifying the exact
			should specifically require source abatement strategies to	manner of compliance (Water Code
			ensure circulation improvement projects are not the only	§13360).
			action taken. Additionally, the TMDL should require	
			abatement of human sources, most notably from nearby	The City of Oxnard has also located and
			sanitary lines, restrooms, and boat waste.	repaired leaking lateral that may have
				caused the sewage match. See
			Implementation planning at the HBVC tends to focus on	comment 3.6.
			circulation improvement strategies because these beaches are	
			enclosed beaches sheltered from direct ocean wave energy.	In addition, during development of the
			While we support the concept of circulation projects at these	TMDL responsible parties must submit
			beaches, it is imperative that abatement of all anthropogenic	work plans to the Regional Board which
			sources occurs in conjunction with circulation improvement	will detail how they intend to
			projects for the ultimate goal of public health protection.	implement source control and BMPs.
				The Regional Board can ensure
			In particular, human sources must be abated. As shown in the	appropriate source control measures
			staff report, human sources are still polluting the Channel Island	through those workplans.

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			Harbor Beaches (CIHB), despite efforts to address fecal pollution. A source study at the CIHB found 18% of all DNA matches were determined to be human (page 55). This is a relatively high percentage of human sewage matches for a beach bacteria source study. There is sanitary sewer infrastructure in the vicinity of the CIHB including bathrooms, which should be re-examined to ensure these are not a source.	For Harbor Cove Beach this comment can be further addressed when the TMDL for Harbor Cove Beach is redeveloped.
4.3	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Additionally, the TMDL should specifically assign a load allocation (LA) of zero bacteria for boat discharge.	Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) and Load Allocations (LAs) in this TMDL are assigned to individual parties and to specific sites, in which the listed responsible parties are responsible for meeting the allocations. Assigning allocations to specific actions like boat discharge is not necessary as the responsible parties with jurisdiction of the relevant harbors are also given allocations. Responsible parties must submit a draft and final implementation plan for wet- and dry-weather to address source control and the Regional Board can ensure appropriate source control measures including control of boat discharges, through those workplans. See table 7-28.3 in the proposed BPA.
4.4	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Dry-weather compliance should occur in three years.	Staff disagrees. Dry-weather bacteria exceedances at Hobie Beach and Kiddie
			The TMDL requires achievement with dry-weather limits in five years, a whole two years longer that the dry-weather compliance schedule for all of the Santa Monica Bay Beaches. The HBVC	Beach can be attributed to a combination of diffuse nonpoint sources and poor circulation. Studies conducted

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
			are small beaches located in relatively small watersheds with	at the Channel Islands Harbor did not
			correspondingly lower numbers of sources. Dry weather	identify a primary point source of
			compliance should be achievable in three years. This is	bacteria attributing to bacteria
			particularly true of the CIHB where years of work and several	exceedances at Hobie and Kiddie
			studies have already been completed (including completion of	Beach.
			significant projects funded by the State's Clean Beach Initiative).	
				The Harbor Beaches of Ventura
			We understand that responsible parties would like to see the	County, Kiddie Beach and Hobie
			results of the circulation project at Cabrillo beach before	Beach, are enclosed beaches and are not
			beginning work on similar projects at their beaches. Although we	analogous to the Santa Monica Bay
			believe observing the results of other beach cleanup efforts is	Beaches. Santa Monica Bay bacteria
			helpful, this is not a valid reason to hold-up cleanup of these	exceedances were attributed primarily
			beaches for another two years because: 1) each enclosed beach	to storm drain discharges. Studies
			has unique characteristics that ultimately dictate the design and	conducted at the Channel Islands
			effectiveness of circulation devices, so while some useful	Harbor did not identify a primary point
			information may be gleaned from the Cabrillo experience, the	source of bacteria. Dry-weather
			ultimate success or failure of the Cabrillo project will not dictate	bacteria exceedances at Kiddie Beach
			whether a similar project should be implemented at the CIHB,	and Hobie Beach are primarily
			and 2) another circulation project at Baby Beach in Dana Point	attributed to a combination of diffuse
			Harbor has already collected much useful data and can serve as	nonpoint sources and poor circulation.
			an example.	W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	While three years was allocated for the
			We also understand that bacteria mitigation projects including	bacteria TMDL dry-weather compliance
			circulation improvement projects take time to complete,	at the enclosed Mother's Beach in
			however, it has been common knowledge for several years that	Marina del Rey Harbor, Mother's
			these beaches have poor water quality. Additionally, the	Beach has several direct discharges
			responsible parties have had the benefit of the completed Santa	from surrounding restaurants which
			Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria TMDL for over five years.	could be addressed by installation of
			Clearly, the requirements of this TMDL are not unexpected.	low-flow diversions and also was
			Halda Darama da Darada maia da daramad	awarded a Clean Beaches Initiative
			Heal the Bay urges the Board to revise the dry-weather	(CBI) Grant for circulation devices

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
		Date	compliance date to three years.	which they have now installed. Although the County of Ventura was also awarded a CBI grant to address bacteria impairments at Kiddie and Hobie Beach, a significant amount was returned due to the decision to not pursue phase 2 of the proposal, which included piloting enhanced circulation devices. Resultantly, the Harbor Beaches of Ventura County will need more time to address a more diffuse problem and to identify sufficient funding. The Harbor Beaches of Ventura County, addressed in this TMDL, have conditions more similar to Inner Cabrillo Beach in the Port of Los Angeles, as both are enclosed beaches located within a harbor, with similar diffuse and multiple bacteria sources. As a result, staff finds that dry-weather compliance in five years is reasonable given the added time necessary to achieve source reduction for diffuse nonpoint sources and to plan and pilot structural BMPs, which is consistent with Los Angeles Harbor Bacteria TMDL.
	1			I .

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
4.5	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Agricultural source entities should be listed as a responsible	Staff disagrees. While agricultural
			party now. Waiting four years to include these entities could	lands are a significant part of the
			delay final compliance.	watershed, the loading capacity and
			As drafted, the TMDL requires monitoring of agricultural	potential of agricultural discharge is
			sources and to reconsider these sources at the re-opener in four	unknown at this time.
			years. We believe agricultural entities that contribute runoff to	
			discharges received by the harbors should be identified as	To quantify the agricultural loading
			responsible parties now. There is agricultural runoff data to show	potential of discharge into the Channel
			there is reasonable potential for agricultural sources to be a	Islands Harbor and Ventura Harbor
			contributor to the bacteria impairment at the HBVC (See EPA	subwatersheds, the regional board has
			EMPACT data or Ventura County's MS4 stormwater data).	placed monitoring requirements in the
			There is no question that agriculture meets the threshold of	implementation schedule for
			having the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to	agricultural dischargers. Load
			exceedances of water quality standards. Delaying inclusion of	allocations are not initially assigned due
			these sources now will only delay final compliance of the TMDL	to the uncertainty of agricultural
			later. As proposed, agricultural contributors will have less than	loading. Time has been allotted in the
			six years to meet wet-weather compliance. Given the fact that	regional board reconsideration to
			agricultural land use is the second and third highest land uses in	determine a proper load allocation
			the two watersheds (~27% for Channel Islands Harbor and ~14%	based on monitoring results from the
			in Ventura Harbor), it seems imperative: that these sources are	conditional waiver for dischargers from
			targeted early in the implementation process.	irrigated lands.
4.6	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Importantly, the Malibu Creek watershed bacteria TMDL set the	Staff disagrees. In the Malibu Creek
			precedent of zero loading allocation for nonpoint source	Watershed Bacteria TMDL, allocations
			pollution like septic systems and agriculture. Heal the Bay	were assigned to specific waterbodies,
			recommends a consistent approach for this watershed: a zero	as measured at specific monitoring
			load allocation for all nonpoint sources including agriculture.	locations.
4.7	Heal the Bay	Sep 28	Using the 90 th percentile storm year in terms of wet days to	The critical condition for bacteria
			set the number of allowable exceedances is not conservative	exceedances is wet weather, and the
			or protective of public health because it will allow more	90th percentile year, in terms of the
			exceedances at the beaches than the actual number of	number of wet-weather days, has a

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
	Author .		exceedances that occur at the reference location during 90% of all years. The proposed TMDL uses the 90 th percentile storm year in terms of wet days to determine the number of days of allowable number of exceedances. Because the 90 th percentile rain event year is used to determine the number of allowable exceedances, during 90% of all years, the <i>actual</i> number of exceedances at the reference location will be less than the <i>allowable</i> number of exceedances. Thus, in 90% of the years the TMDL is failing to meet the goal of having all beaches meet or exceed the water quality at the reference location. We have expressed our concern over this methodology in our comments letters regarding previous bacteria TMDLs completed in Region IV.	return frequency consistent with that used in other TMDLs. Establishing the WLA based on the historical exceedances of the reference watershed during a dry year would result in the reference watershed itself being in noncompliance. This would undermine the intent of the reference watershed approach, which is to make allowances for natural sources of bacteria and to avoid diverting natural creeks and drainages. In addition, the methods employed to meet the WLAs based on the critical wet-year will reduce exceedances during drier years as well.
				Use of the 90th percentile year assists implementing agencies in planning for a worst-case scenario and it is expected that in years with fewer wet days a decline in exceedance days will be observed. Staff may re-evaluate the reference year approach during the reconsideration of the TMDL.
5.1	VCAILG	Sep 26	We have concerns with the Harbor Beaches of Ventura County Bacteria TMDI because it places additional requirements on the VCAILG members that are beyond the Conditional Waiver or TMDL compliance requirements.	The Conditional Waiver, similar to NPDES permits or WDRs, are required to be in compliance with the Basin Plan. These TMDLs will be included as an amendment to the Basin Plan. As such, compliance with the TMDL cannot be

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
				considered "beyond" the Conditional Waiver.
5.2	VCAILG	Sep 26	Additionally, we feel that the Regional Board has not provided any support in the TMDL document as to why a deviation from the established Conditional Waiver monitoring program is warranted. There is no agricultural area adjacent to any of the three beaches considered by this TMDL. There are no documented agricultural discharges to either beach. Finally, there is no evidence provided that agricultural discharges within the Ventura Harbor or Channel Islands Harbor watersheds could be impacting the bacteria levels at the beaches. As a result, we do not understand why agriculture was singled out of all the other possible sources in the two watersheds for monitoring requirements in the TMDL. We request that the Regional Board remove the agricultural	Agriculture is a significant land use in the watersheds, and agricultural lands can be a source of bacteria to waters, so monitoring to assess how much these agricultural lands contribute to bacterial contamination is warranted. However, the TMDL requirement for monitoring will not alter the process for developing monitoring plans within the structure of the Condition Waiver for Discharges from Irrigated Lands.
			monitoring requirements from the Tentative BPA and Staff Report.	
6.1	City of Moorpark	Jul 23	The City drains to the Calleguas Creek Watershed, which drains to Mugu Lagoon located a significant distance south of the Harbors. The Staff Report, Substitute Environmental Documents and Tentative Resolution do not establish any link between stormwater or urban runoff discharges from Mugu Lagoon and water quality impairments at the Harbors. If the TMDL is adopted as currently written, the City would be responsible for a TMDL that is designed to correct an impairment in a watershed to which the City does not discharge.	The BPA and staff report will be revised to clarify that the responsible parties does not include Cities outside of the watershed.
			Please remove the City from the list of Responsible Parties for the TMDL, as the City was named by mistake as a Responsible Party in the TMDL.	

No.	Author	Date	Comment	Response
7.1	City of	Jul 23	The Calleguas Creek watershed drains to Mugu Lagoon, which is	See response to comment 6.1.
	Camarillo		located a significant distance south of the Channel Islands and	
			Ventura Harbors. The Regional Board's Staff Report, Substitute	
			Environmental Documents and Tentative Resolution fail to	
			establish any linkage between stormwater or urban runoff	
			discharges from the City of Camarillo and water quality	
			impairments at the Channel Islands or Ventura Harbor beaches.	
			Thus, Camarillo has been named a Responsible Party for this	
			TMDL despite having no contribution of any pollutant loading or	
			drainage to the harbor beaches of concern.	
8.1	City of	Jul 23	Thousand Oaks drains primarily to the Calleguas Creek	See response to comment 6.1.
	Thousand		watershed, with a small portion draining easterly to the Malibu	
	Oaks		Creek Watershed. The Calleguas Creek watershed drains to	
			Mugu Lagoon, which is located a significant distance south of	
			the Channel Islands and Ventura Harbors. The Regional Board's	
			Staff Report, Substitute Environmental Documents and Tentative	
			Resolution fail to establish any linkage between stormwater or	
			urban runoff discharges from the City of Thousand Oaks and	
			water quality impairments at the Channel Islands or Ventura	
			Harbor beaches. Thus, Thousand Oaks has been named a	
			Responsible Party for this TMDL despite having no contribution	
			of any pollutant loading or drainage to the harbor beaches of	
			concern.	